# Population Density and Habitat Preference of Indian Peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*) in Deva Vatala National Park, Azad Jammu & Kashmir, Pakistan

# Maqsood Anwar,\* Abid Mahmood, Muhammad Rais, Iftikhar Hussain, Nasra Ashraf, Sangam Khalil and Baseer ud Din Qureshi

Department of Wildlife Management, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, 46300 Rawalpindi, Pakistan

**Abstract.-** The present study determined population density and habitat use of Indian peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*) in Deva Vatala National Park of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. Peafowl was found between 320 and 400m elevation above mean sea level (amsl) in the study area. Overall population density of peafowl in all habitats of the park was 10.13 birds per km<sup>2</sup> while it was 11, 19.79 and 5 birds per km<sup>2</sup> in open areas, natural forest and cultivated areas, respectively combined for both the ranges. Population density at Deva Range was 18, 10.42 and 6 birds per km<sup>2</sup> while in Vatala Range, it was 4, 18.75 and 4 birds per km<sup>2</sup> in open areas, forest habitat and cultivated areas, respectively. Indian peafowl preferred forest habitat where its population density was 19.79 birds per km<sup>2</sup>, followed by open areas having 11 birds per km<sup>2</sup>. Vegetation analysis of peafowl habitat showed that collectively herbs dominated flora of study area (35.47%), followed by grasses (27.38%), shrubs (20.34%) and trees (16.79%). Grasses were dominant in open areas (31.48%), in cultivated areas (40.24%) while shrubs were dominant in natural forest (29.24%). Major threats to Indian peafowl in the study area were habitat degradation, hunting, disturbance and livestock grazing pressure. Strict law enforcement to control illegal hunting, egg collection and stone crushing in the park area is required. Provision of better livelihood options to local people, energy sources, forage reserves for livestock would be helpful for conservation of Indian peafowl population and other wildlife of the park.

Key words: Indian Peafowl, population density, habitat use, threats, Deva Vatala National Park.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Indian peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*), also known as blue or common peafowl, is the largest bird of family Phasianidae (Roberts, 1991). In Pakistan, major portion of its population is now found only in extreme south-eastern region of Sindh province around Tharparker, however, its small populations are also found in north-eastern border areas of the Punjab province (Roberts, 1991), including border belt in district Narowal, northern Punjab (Akbar *et al.*, 2005), where it moves between Pakistan (for feeding during the day) and Indian territory (for roosting at night). It was earlier reported to be thinly but widely distributed in areas of Vatala and Deva in Azad Jammu & Kashmir (Azam *et al.*, 2007).

Indian peafowl inhabits scrub forest occupying mostly edges of the forests (Johnsgard, 1986). They can be found in dry deciduous, moist deciduous, semi-arid regions, near agricultural fields and water sources. Generally, they prefer habitat that contains mixed patches of scrub forest and open plains. They also need lekking sites and areas where they can take dust bath. They are observed to lie on dusty areas and rub their feathers with dust so as to remove broken feathers and parasites. That is why they are more abundant in semi-arid areas (Ramesh and McGowan, 2009).

Indian peafowl has been listed as Least Concern globally (IUCN, 2015). Roberts (1991) reported it as rare in wilderness areas of Pakistan. Indian peafowl has long train feathers which make it attractive and prominent for humans and predators (Gadagkar, 2003). The population of Indian peafowl is on the decline and has become locally extinct from some areas of its past distribution range (Ramesh and McGowan, 2009; Divya and Sarita, 2013). There are numerous threats to its existing populations including; habitat loss and degradation, human population pressure, illegal poaching, intensive agricultural practices and use of pesticides, retaliatory killing, collection of eggs for consumption and killing for medicinal purposes. In Pakistan, peafowl has been extirpated from many

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: maqsoodanwar@uaar.edu.pk 0030-9923/2015/0005-1381 \$ 8.00/0 Copyright 2015 Zoological Society of Pakistan

parts of its former range due to trapping and illegal poaching of this beautiful bird. This peacock is prized as a pet bird and its colorful feathers are also used in a variety of decorative items.

Due to very limited studies on Indian peafowl in Pakistan, exact estimates of surviving population of this species are not available. The present study aimed to estimate population density, habitat status and utilization and threats to Indian peafowl in Deva Vatala National Park, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. The results of this study would provide baseline information for developing management and conservation strategies for Indian Peafowl.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Study area

The study was conducted in Deva Vatala National Park (32°51-32°55 N and 74°16-74°24 E) at elevation range of 306 m-411 m amsl having an area of 2993 ha, situated in district Bhimber of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan (Figure 1). The area was upgraded as national park in 2007 and is surrounded by River Tavi and occupied Kashmir in the east on Line of Control (Subhani et al., 2010) The park has mixed terrain of small mountains, ridges and plain areas having crop cultivated fields intermittent with natural vegetation, with dry subtropical climatic conditions. Maximum temperature of 38°C was recorded in June, 2011 while the minimum temperature of 5.72°C was recorded in December, 2011. In winters, nomads shift their livestock to this area for grazing and leave in April. The presence of rocks in the soil makes it hot spot for stone querying which poses a threat to biodiversity of this area. The park contains subtropical, semi-evergreen vegetation dominated by plant species like Ziziphus nummularia, Ficus bengalensis, Dalbergia sissoo, Mangifera indica, Acacia modesta, Acacia nilotica, Lantana camara, Adhatoda zeylanica, Dodonaea viscosa, Carissa Dasmostachya bipinnata, opaca, Saccharum spontaneum and Cynodon dactylon (Azam et al., 2007).

Both *Ficus bengalensis* and *Mangifera indica* are part of natural flora.

Study methods

For data collection purpose, study area was divided into two study sites i.e., Deva Range and Vatala Range, further classified into three habitat types *i.e.*, open areas, forest areas and cultivated areas in each range. Based on reconnaissance survey, fixed transects of 1000 m long and 500 m wide (area 0.5 km<sup>2</sup>) were laid down in open and cultivated habitats whereas it was 1600 m long and 300 m wide (area 0.48 km<sup>2</sup>) in the forest, six transects in total in each range. Size of transects, length and width, was based on natural terrain of the area and visibility of Peafowls. Transects were taken at least 500 m apart from each other. Due to security reasons movement of researchers and use of GPS and camera was restricted in some core habitat zones of Indian peafowl which allowed only limited number of transects in peafowl habitat. Each transect was visited once in a month from September, 2010 to June, 2011. Data on population and vegetation was taken from these predetermined transects. Population density was calculated following Burnham et al. (1980). Habitat of Indian peafowl in the study area was analyzed by using quadrate method with the size of 10 x 10 m for trees. 4 x 4 m for shrubs and 1 x 1 m for herbs and grasses (Schemnitz, 1980). Fifteen quadrates were taken along the predetermined transects at the interval of 20 m in each habitat type of both study sites. Number of plants and cover of each species were recorded for each quadrate. Herbs and grasses were separated during sampling and later identified at Department of Botany, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi along with other samples of unidentified plants. Additional features such as slope, aspect, altitude, distance from water source, human disturbance etc. were also noted. To determine the magnitude of the Indian peafowl's vegetation preference, we calculated Ivlev's electivity indices;

$$IEI = \frac{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}}$$

where 'a' is the proportional use of a vegetation or habitat type and 'b' is the availability of that type. Thus, an IEI of 1.0 denotes maximum preference of a vegetation type, zero denotes use exactly according to availability and a value of -1.0 denotes



Fi. 1. Map of study area, Deva Vatala National Park, Azad Jammu and Kashmir

total avoidance (Fjellstad and Steinheim, 1996). Chi-square analysis in SPSS (version 17) at p<0.05 confidence interval was used to compare population density of Peafowl among two ranges of the park and three habitat types in each range.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Habitat preference

The result of IEI showed that in Deva Range the vegetation type most preferred by Indian peafowl was open area (IEI= 1), closely followed by forest area (IEI= 0.96) and then cultivated areas (IEI= 0.5). While in Vatala Range, Forest area was its most preferred habitat (IEI= 0.88) followed by open area (IEI= 0.33) and cultivated areas (IEI= 0.33). Hence, Indian peafowl collectively preferred forest habitat in the study area. Based on population density estimates of peafowl, it seems that its preferred habitat in the park is natural forest where its population density was 14.37 birds/km<sup>2</sup>, followed by open areas (11 birds/km<sup>2</sup>) and cultivated areas (5 birds/km<sup>2</sup>).

Vegetation analysis of peafowl habitat showed that collectively, herbs dominated the flora of the study area (35.47%), followed by grasses (27.38%), shrubs (20.34%) and trees (16.79%) (Figure 2). Importance Value Index of 54 plant species identified in Peafowl habitat is shown in Figure 3. Collectively, dominant plant species of Peafowl habitat in the park included Saccharum bengalensis, Dalbergia sissoo, Adhatoda zeylanica, Lantana camara, Triticum aestivum, Parthenium Cynodon hysterophorus, dactylon, Ficus bengalensis, Dodonaea viscosa, Desmostachya bipinnata, Ageratum houstonianum, Heteropogan

contortus, Butea monosperma, Opuntia dillenii, Mangifera indica, Brachiaria reptans, Sorghum halepense, Gymnosporia royleana, Aerva persica, Acroptilon repens, Imperata cylindrica, Acacia nilotica, Acacia modesta and Ziziphus mauritiana (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Vegetation composition of Indian Peafowl habitat in Deva Vatala National Park.

Dominant plant species in natural forest habitat included Dalbergia sissoo, Lantana camara, Adhatoda zevlanica, Saccharum bengalensis, Butea monosperma and Carissa opaca. Open area habitat was dominated bv Saccharum bengalensis. Parthenium hysterophorus, Dalbergia sissoo, Ziziphus nummularia, Adhatoda zeylanica, Lantana camara and Ficus bengalensis. Major plant species of cultivated areas included Adhatoda zevlanica, Cynodon dactylon, Saccharum bengalensis, Dodonaea viscosa, Triticum aestivum, Parthenium hysterophorus and Heteropogan contortus.

Most of the nesting and roosting sites of peafowl were recorded in forest habitat as it possesses tall trees. It is, therefore, an important habitat for their breeding activities. Dense shrubby layer, especially *Lantana camara* also provides good protective cover for the bird. Earlier studies conducted elsewhere also reported that Indian peafowl prefers scrub forests (Sivakumar *et al.* (2006), occupying edges of the forests (Johnsgard, 1986). The most used habitat by Green peafowl in Vietnam was also reported to be dry deciduous forest with access to permanent water and free from human disturbance (Brickle, 2002). In Shuangbai Konglonghe Nature Reserve of China, Green peafowl were reported to inhabit mainly deciduous broad-leaved forest and evergreen broad-leaved mixed forest along the banks of rivers (Liu *et al.*, 2009).

#### Population density

Peafowl observations were mostly recorded between 320-400 m elevation in different habitats in the study area. Its overall population density in the park was 10.13 birds / km<sup>2</sup> (Table I). Deva Vatala National Park is smaller in size as compared to other reserves, having high disturbance due to livestock grazing and other human activities, leaving very few undisturbed areas for peafowl habitat. In Pakistan, due to lack of detailed study on Indian peafowl, no exact estimates of their population density is available. Studies conducted in India reported population density of 88.24 peafowl / km<sup>2</sup> in Rajaji National Park (Das and Sivakumar, 2009) and 53.1 peafowl / km<sup>2</sup> in Sariska Tiger Reserve (Kidwai et al., 2011). These are much higher as compared to present results of Deva Vatala National Park which is probably due to larger areas of those parks, having better protection and good habitat quality.

In Deva Range, highest population density (18 birds/ km<sup>2</sup>) was observed in open area habitat followed by natural forest (10.42 birds/km<sup>2</sup>) and cultivated area (6 birds / km<sup>2</sup>) from six transects in each habitat type (Table 1). This range consisted of open areas with sandy soil where male peafowl would gather for playing, displaying and bathing during early morning and late evening hours. Disturbance by livestock during the day drives them towards adjacent forest areas with thick vegetation. In Vatala Range, highest population density of 18.75 birds / km<sup>2</sup> was recorded in forest habitat. Open and cultivated area habitats had a low density of 4 birds/ km<sup>2</sup> each (Table 1). Here, most of open area habitat has been damaged due to stone activities which disturb quarrying peafowl population. Forest habitat was comparatively less disturbed in this range, hence, most individuals were observed in that habitat. Low population of peafowl was recorded in cultivated areas in both study sites which is probably due to frequent disturbance by

Study site	Transect #	Area of transect (km²)	Average number of Peafowl (n)	Peafowl/ km <sup>2</sup>	Average density	Stat analysis
Deva	1	0.5	9	18	11.47	p = 0.01,
	2	0.48	5	10.42		$\chi 2 = 6.42$ , d.f = 2 at $\alpha = 0.05$
	3	0.5	3	6		
Vatala	1	0.5	2	4	8.91	p = 0.01,
	2	0.48	9	18.75		$\chi^2 = 16.26$ , d.f. = 2 at $\alpha = 0.05$
	3	0.5	2	4		
Total		2.96	30	10.14	10.19	

Table I.- Population density of Indian Peafowl at Deva Vatala National Park

Key: Transect 1= Open area Transect 2= Forest area Transect 3= Cultivated area.



Fig. 3. Importance Value of Plant species recorded in Indian Peafowl habitat in Deva Vatala National Park.

human activities and livestock grazing. However, wheat crop in cultivated areas provided foraging to peafowl at seedling stages.

Population density of peafowl in three different habitat types was significantly different in Deva Range (p = 0.01,  $\chi 2 = 6.42$ , d.f = 2 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and in Vatala Range habitats (p = 0.01,  $\chi 2 = 16.26$ , d.f. = 2 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). However, population density of peafowl between Deva and Vatala Range was not significantly different from each other (t = 0.423, p = 2.77, d.f. = 4 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Present study noted that most of male peafowl come to open areas in early morning and

late evening hours for dust bathing, display and feeding. Occasionally females were also sighted along with males, but in very low number as compared to the males, whereas females were mostly found in forest habitat. Ramesh and McGowan (2009) also reported that Indian peafowl prefers habitats with mixed patches of forest and open lands and roost at tall trees. During the present study, their presence was recorded near agricultural fields. Locals told that peafowl gather near the edges of agricultural fields when crop is at seedling stage and they nibble the juicy seedling. Some previous studies conducted in Pakistan also suggested that peafowl prefers cultivated areas (Azam and Shafiq, 2005; Akbar et al., 2005). Present study recorded that peafowl mostly roost on tall trees of Ficus bengalensis, Mangifera indica and Butea monosperma. Ficus bengalensis and Mangifera indica are natural trees. Butea monosperma although not very tall but used by peafowls for roosting. However, Roberts (1991) reported that Indian peafowl were observed roosting on Chir pine (Pinus roxburghii) trees in Lehtrar Valley (Pakistan) in the sub-tropical pine forest.

The most serious threats to the peafowl and other wildlife species in the study area were recorded to be habitat degradation as a result of livestock grazing, fuel wood cutting and grass collection by the local population. Burning of dry grass to clear the area for agriculture farming is also causing loss of habitat. In addition, hunting and disturbance through human activities such as stone crushing and mining also threaten peafowl population. Collection of peafowl eggs for hatching and sale to keep as pet is a common practice in this area which severely affects its population. Strict enforcement of wildlife laws is required to control illegal hunting, egg collection and other activities restricted in a national park such as stone crushing and mining. Public awareness, provision of alternate energy sources and forage reserves for livestock may be helpful to reduce pressure from peafowl habitat.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Authors are thankful to Higher Education Commission of Pakistan for financial support and Azad Jammu & Kashmir Wildlife Department for field support during the study.

### REFERENCES

- AKBAR, M., KHAN, R. A. J., MEHBOOB, S. AND NISA, Z. U., 2005. Wildlife of Border Belt Game Reserve, District Narowal, Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.*, 3: 13-17.
- AZAM, M.M. AND SHAFIQUE, C. M., 2005. Birdlife in Nagarparkar, district Tharparkar, Sindh. *Rec. Zool. Surv. Pak.*, 16: 26-32.
- AZAM, M. M., HUSSAIN, R., ALI, M., AHMED, W. AND ABBAS, N., 2007. Some observations on the biodiversity of Deva and Vitalla Game Reserves. District Bhimber, AJK. Unpublished report, pp. 21.

- BRICKLE, N. W., 2002. Habitat use, predicted distribution and conservation of green peafowl (*Pavo muticus*) in Dak Lak Province, Vietnam. *Biol. Conserv.*, **105**: 189-197.
- BURNHAM, K. P., ANDERSON, D. R. AND LAAKE, J. L., 1980. Estimation of density from line transect sampling of biological populations. *Wildl. Monogr.*, **72**: 202.
- DAS, N. AND SIVAKUMAR, K., 2009. Population status and distribution pattern of Indian peafowl (*Pavo cristatus* Linnaeus, 1758) in Chilla range, Rajaji National Park. *Ind. For.*, 135:1391-1396.
- DIVYA, J. AND SARITA, R., 2013. Population indices and habitat association of Indian Peafowl (*Pavo cristatus*) in Haryana using line transect and call count method. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, 47: 152-155.
- FJELLSTAD, J. I. AND STEINHEIM, G., 1996. Diet and habitat use of greater Indian one-horned rhinoceros (Rhinoceros unicornis) and Asian elephant (Elephas maximus) during the dry season in Babai valley, Royal Bardia National Park, Nepal. Agricultural University of Norway (Thesis), pp. 48.
- GADAGKAR, R., 2003. Is the peacock merely beautiful or also honest? *Curr. Sci.*, **85**: 1012-1020.
- IUCN, 2015. The IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2015.1. <<u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>>. Downloaded on 05 June 2015.
- JOHNSGARD, P.A., 1986. *The pheasants of the World*. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 295.
- KIDWAI, Z., SANKARI, K., QURESHI, Q. AND KHAN, J. A., 2011. Abundance and habitat utilization by Galliformes in the Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan, India. *Int. J. Gallif. Conserv.*, 2: 54-60.
- LIU, Y., HAN, L., XIE, Y., WEN, Y. AND ZHANG, R., 2009. The status and habitat use of green peafowl *Pavo muticus* in Shuangbai Konglonghe Nature Reserve, China. Int. J. Gallif. Conserv., 1: 32–35.
- RAMESH, K. AND McGOWAN, P., 2009. On the current status of Indian peafowl *Pavo cristatus* (Aves: Galliformes: Phasianidae): keeping the common species common. *J. Threat. Taxa*, **1**: 106-108.
- ROBERTS, T.J., 1991. The birds of Pakistan. Non-Passeriformes. Vol. I. Oxford University Press, Karachi. 233 pp.
- SCHEMNITZ, D. S., 1980. Wildlife Management Technique Manual. Published by Wildlife Society, Washington D.C., U.S.A. 686 pp.
- SIVAKUMAR, S., VARGHESE, J. AND PRAKASH, V., 2006. Abundance of birds in different habitats in Buxa Tiger Reserve, West Bengal, India. *Forktail*, 22:128-133
- SUBHANI, A., AWAN, M.S., ANWAR, M., ALI, U. AND DAR, N.I. 2010. Population status and distribution pattern of red jungle fowl (*Gallus gallus murghi*) in Deva Vatala National Park, Azad Jammu & Kashmir:A pioneer study. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **42**:701-706. (*Received 3 July 2013, revised 10 June 2015*)